

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/536,634	BARTSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner CHAMELI C. DAS	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) CHAMELI C. DAS. (3) _____.
 (2) Donna Jo Coningsby. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28, 41, and 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated the this application would be allowable if the dependent claims 31 and 49 would be added to the independent claims 28 and 46 respectively and similar limitation "wherein the transfer of the at least one inactive source file is in response to replacing at least one corresponding active source file with the at least one inactive source file in case the corresponding active source file is outdated" would be added to the independent claim 41. The applicant agreed to amend the claims as indicated by the Examiner.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAMELI C. DAS/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)